

Planning Proposal - Rezoning and Reclassification of Alpha Park (113 Dwellings, 285 Employment)				
Proposal Title :	Planning Proposal - Rezoning and Reclassification of Alpha Park (113 Dwellings, 285 Employment)			
Proposal Summary :	activation of the park, through g	The reclassification and rezoning of the northern portion of Alpha Park aims to promote the activation of the park, through ground floor community or retail/commercial uses and 6-8 storey 'shop top' residential housing.		
PP Number	PP_2017_BLACK_003_00	Dop File No :	17/03536	
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	24-Mar-2017	LGA covered :	Blacktown	
Region :	Metro(Parra)	RPA :	Blacktown City Council	
State Electorate :	BLACKTOWN	Section of the Act;	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Reclassification			
Location Details		2		
Street : Alp	oha Street			
Suburb :	City :	Blacktown	Postcode :	
Land Parcel : 11	and 12 DP 1060490, 4 DP 613991, 1	105 and 106 DP 1059635, P	art 1 DP 1063433	
DoP Planning Officer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Stuart McIntosh			
Contact Number :	0298601551			
Contact Email :	stuart.mcintosh@planning.nsw.g	jov.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ils			
Contact Name :	Fiona Mcdermott			
Contact Number :	0298396117			
Contact Email :	Fiona.mcdermott@blacktown.ns	w.gov.au		
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Adrian Hohenzollern			
Contact Number :	0298601505			
Contact Email :	Adrian.Hohenzollern@planning.r	nsw.gov.au		
Land Release Data	a			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy	/:	

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg	
3		Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		< · ·
If No, comment :	POLITICAL DONATIONS DISCLOS	SURE STATEMENT	
	Political donations disclosure laws commenced on 1 October 2008. The legislation requires the public disclosure of donations or gifts for certain circumstances relating to the Planning system.		
	"The disclosure requirements und relevant planning applications an		
	The term relevant planning applic - A formal request to the Minister, an environmental planning instru	a council or the Secretary to	initiate the making of
	Planning Circular PS 08-009 spec Minister or Secretary is required t	-	
	The Department has not received	any disclosure statements fo	r this Planning proposal.
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :	The Department's Lobbyist Conta	-	
0	there are no records of contact w	th lobbyists in relation to this	s proposal.
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :			
dequacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the obj	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The objective of this planning Alpha Park to B4 Mixed use. Th housing, commercial and com	his will allow additional land u	-
Explanation of provi	isions provided - s55(2)(b)		
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes		
Comment :	The justification for the reclass	ification is that the subject s	te is currently underutilised and

1

the suggested planning control amendments will activate the area and increase passive surveillance.

The planning proposal results from an Urban Design Study which presents a vision for Alpha Park "Concept 12 - Alpha Park Precinct" (page p35) which recommends amending planning controls to permit community uses or retail/commercial uses on the ground floor and provided shop top residential housing.

The planning proposal is aligned with the wider Blacktown and Mount Druitt CBDs planning proposal (PP_2017_BLACK_001_00) which seeks to increase land zoned B4 Mixed Use in the CBD, creating a a vibrant centre activated by its own residential population.

The subject site is owned by council. The reclassification and rezoning will allow Council to sell the land for mixed use development.

The planning proposal identifies the subject site as approximately 8500m2 of land to the north of Alpha Park. The current uses of the land include:

- community hall;
- child care centre;
- car park; and
- tennis courts.

The proposal seeks to amend planning controls to:

- reclassify the land from community to operational;
- rezone the land from RE1 Public Recreation to B4 Mixed Use; and
- assign a 26 meter building height control for land rezoned.

The planning proposal seeks to make the following amendments to the Blacktown Local Environment Plan 2015:

- Land Zoning Map 014;
- Height of Buildings Map 014.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identit	fied by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
* May need the Director General's agreement		2.3 Heritage Conservation
-		3.1 Residential Zones
		3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
		3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
		4.3 Flood Prone Land
		6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
		7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney
Is the Director Genera	I's agreement required? '	Yes
c) Consistent with Standa	rd Instrument (LEPs) Ord	der 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have the	e RPA identified?	SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land)
		SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land
		SEPP No 64—Advertising and Signage
		SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004
		SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008
		SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007
e) List any other		NTAL PLANNING POLICIES
matters that need to		
be considered :	Planning Policies.	
	aming i onologi	

SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS

The planning proposal is consistent with all relevant \$117 Directions, with the exception of the following. 6.2 RESERVING LAND FOR PUBLIC PURPOSES The reclassification and rezoning of this land is considered justifiably inconsistent as the proposal states it will result in increased pedestrian activity in the area and in turn activate the remaining park lands for public purposes. However, the planning proposal does no present information regarding the loss of public space or justify the loss of community facilities. Further information is required to address practice Note PN 16-01 PRACTICE NOTE PN 16-01 - CLASSIFICATION AND RECLASSIFICATION OF PUBLIC LAND THROUGH A LOCAL ENVIROMMENTAL PLAN Councel have resolved to endores the recommendations in the urban design study to activate the area and support the strategic and site specific merits of the reclassification and rezoning. Councel have not specified a time frame to self the land and there is no known interested party to purchase the land. Council correspondence stats that redevalyopment of the size world include consideration of any existing lases agreements (child care centre), but further detail is needed to clarify this and the loss of existing community facilities (consis courts, community hall, car park) will need to be added to the proposal. The table in Appendix 1 provides minimal details regarding land acquisition, caveats, trusts, duration and expiration of current lease agreements of the subject site. This will need to be updated to address the checklist in Practice Note PN 10-001, some key issues are: • there is no bacial how the land was acquired and the nature of any trusts, didictions of cell information regarding whether current uses are authorised and details of all agreements for all identified lots.	Employment)	
The reclassification and reconing of this land in considered justifiably inconsistent as the proposal states it will result in increased pidedstrian activity in the area and in turn activate the remaining park lands for public purposes. However, the planning proposal does no present information regarding the loss of public space or justify the loss of community facilities. Further Information is required to address practice Note PN 14-001 PRACTICE NOTE PN 16-001 - CLASSIFICATION AND RECLASSIFICATION OF PUBLIC LAND THROUGH A LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN Council have resolved to endoces the recommendations in the urban design study to activate the area and support the strategic and site specific merits of the reclassification and reconing. Council have not specified a time frame to sell the land and there is no known interested party to purchase the land. Council correspondence stats that redevelopment of the site would include consideration of any existing lease agreements of child care contrel, but thruther detail is needed to clarify this and the loss of oxisting community facilities (tennic courts, community half, car park) will need to be added to be draft but the proposal. The table in Appendix 1 provides minimal details regarding the subject site. This will need to be updated to address the checklist in Practice Note PN 10-001, some key issues area: • there is no detail how the land was acquired and the nature of any trusts, direction and expiration of current lease agreements of the subject site. This will need to be added to clarify the ID (1064303; there is no latal if care area RG:B403768 for Lot 13/2) 1060490; there is no bacic detailing regarding the sale of the land; • there is no bacic detail presented for Lot 13/20 1060490; there is no datail for careet RG:B403768 for Lot 14/20 1063433; there is no information is required		
proposal states it will result in Increased pedestrian activity in the area and in turn activate the remaining park lands for public propose. How yow, the planning proposal does no present information regarding the loss of public space or justify the loss of community facilities. Further Information is required to address practice Note PN 16-001 PRACTICE NOTE PN 16-001 - CLASSIFICATION AND RECLASSIFICATION OF PUBLIC CLASD THROUGH A LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN Council have resolved to endorse the recommendations in the urban design study to activate the area and support the strategic and site specific merits of the reclassification and rezoning. Council have not specified a time frame to sell the land and there is no known interested party to purchase the land. Council correspondence stats that nedvelopment of the site would include consideration of any yossiting lease agreements (child care centre), but further detail is needed to clarify this and the loss of axisting community facilities (tennic courts, community half, car park) will need to be added to the proposal. The table in Appendix 1 provides minimal details regarding iand acquisition, careets, trusts, duration and expiration of current lease agreements of the subject site. This will made to be updated to address the checklist in Practice Note PN 10-001, some key issues area: there is no detail proved for Lot 12/0 1004490; there is no detail for a lidentified lots. there is no detail for a set RO-80076 for Lot 11/0 1083433; there is no information regarding the sale of the land; there is no information regarding essements. the planning provided resolution regarding essements. the planning proposal provides no explanation as to how funds obt	-	
LAND THROUGH A LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN Council have resolved to endorse the recommendations in the urban design study to activate the area and support the strategic and site specific merits of the reclassification and rezoning. Council have not specified a time frame to sell the land and there is no known interested party to purchase the land. Council correspondence stats that redevelopment of the site would include consideration of any existing lease agreements (child care centre), but further detail is needed to clarify this and the loss of existing community facilities (trainis courts, community hall, car park) will need to be added to the proposal. The table in Appendix 1 provides minimal details regarding land acquisition, caveats, trusts, duration and expiration of current lease agreements of the subject site. This will need to be updated to address the checklist in Practice Note PN 10-001, some key issues are: there is no detail how the land was acquired and the nature of any trusts, dedications, etc; more details are needed regarding whether current uses are authorised and details of all agreements for all identified lots. there is no detail for caveat RG:8406786 for Lot 1/dp 1063433; there is no detail proposal provides no explanation as to how funds obtained from any true reale of the land will be used. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No If No, explain : Additional information is required to be consistent with Section 117 Direction 6.2 Reserving land for public places and the Practice Note PN 16-001 checklist. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Y		proposal states it will result in increased pedestrian activity in the area and in turn activate the remaining park lands for public purposes. However, the planning proposal does no present information regarding the loss of public space or justify the loss of
activate the area and support the strategic and site specific merits of the reclassification and rezoning. Council have not specified a time frame to sell the land and there is no known interested party to purchase the land. Council correspondence state that redevelopment of the site would include consideration of any existing lease agreements (child care centre), but further detail is needed to clarify this and the loss of existing community facilities (tennis courts, community hall, car park) will need to be added to the proposal. The table in Appendix 1 provides minimal details regarding land acquisition, caveats, trusts, duration and expiration of current lease agreements of the subject site. This will need to be updated to address the checklist in Practice Note PN 10-001, some key issues are: there is no detail how the land was acquired and the nature of any trusts, declications, etc; more details are needed regarding whether current uses are authorised and details of all agreements for all identified lots. there is no detail presented for Lot 12/dp 1060490; there is no detail presented for Lot 12/dp 1060490; there is no information regarding easements. there is no information regarding easements with Section 117 Direction 6.2 Reserving land of provides on explanation as to how funds obtained from any future sale of the following maps identifying the subject site existing and proposed amendments: Land Zoning Map Height of Buildings Map The maps provided - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation her proposed? Yes		
interested party to purchase the land. Council correspondence stats that redevelopment of the site would include consideration of any existing lease agreements (child care centre), but further details is needed to clarify this and the loss of existing community facilities (tennis courts, community hall, car park) will need to be added to the proposal. The table in Appendix 1 provides minimal details regarding land acquisition, caveats, trusts, duration and expiration of current lease agreements of the subject site. This will need to be updated to address the checklist in Practice Note PN 10-001, some key issues are: • there is no detail how the land was acquired and the nature of any trusts, dedications, etc; • more details are needed regarding whether current uses are authorised and details of all agreements for all identified lots. • there is no detail for caveat RG:B405786 for Lot 1/dp 1063433; • there is no detail for caveat RG:B405786 for Lot 1/dp 1063433; • there is no detail for caveat RG:B405786 for Lot 1/dp 1063433; • there is no detail for caveat RG:B405786 for Lot 1/dp 1063433; • there is no detail for caveat RG:B405786 for Lot 1/dp 1063433; • there is no detail for pays and with ere uses and the subject site existing and proposed provided no explanation as to how funds obtained from any future sale of the land will be used. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No If No, explain : Additional information regarding maps identifying the subject site exist		activate the area and support the strategic and site specific merits of the reclassification
trusts, duration and expiration of current lease agreements of the subject site. This will need to be updated to address the checklist in Practice Note PN 10-001, some key issues are: there is no detail how the land was acquired and the nature of any trusts, dedications, etc; more details are needed regarding whether current uses are authorised and details of all agreements for all identified lots. there is no additional information regarding the sale of the land; there is no additional information regarding the sale of the land; there is no information regarding easements. the planning proposal provides no explanation as to how funds obtained from any future sale of the land will be used. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No If No, explain : Additional information is required to be consistent with Section 117 Direction 6.2 Reserving land for public places and the Practice Note PN 16-001 checklist. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : Council provided the following maps identifying the subject site existing and proposed amentes: Land Zoning Map Height of Buildings Map The maps provided are suitable for public exhibition purposes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes		interested party to purchase the land. Council correspondence stats that redevelopment of the site would include consideration of any existing lease agreements (child care centre), but further detail is needed to clarify this and the loss of existing community
dedications, etc; • more details are needed regarding whether current uses are authorised and details of all agreements for all identified lots. • there is no basic detailing regarding the sale of the land; • there is no detail presented for Lot 12/dp 1060490; • there is no detail presented for Lot 12/dp 1060490; • there is no detail for caveat RG:B406786 for Lot 1/dp 1063433; • there is no information regarding easements. • the planning proposal provides no explanation as to how funds obtained from any future sale of the land will be used. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No If No, explain : Additional information is required to be consistent with Section 117 Direction 6.2 Reserving land for public places and the Practice Note PN 16-001 checklist. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : Council provided the following maps identifying the subject site existing and proposed amendments: • Land Zoning Map • Height of Buildings Map The maps provided are suitable for public exhibition purposes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes		trusts, duration and expiration of current lease agreements of the subject site. This will need to be updated to address the checklist in Practice Note PN 10-001, some key issues are:
of all agreements for all identified lots. • there is no basic detailing regarding the sale of the land; • there is no detail presented for Lot 12/dp 1060490; • there is no detail for caveat RG:B405786 for Lot 1/dp 1063433; • there is no information regarding easements. • the planning proposal provides no explanation as to how funds obtained from any future sale of the land will be used. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No If No, explain : Additional information is required to be consistent with Section 117 Direction 6.2 Reserving land for public places and the Practice Note PN 16-001 checklist. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : Council provided the following maps identifying the subject site existing and proposed amendments: • Land Zoning Map • Height of Buildings Map The maps provided or s55(2)(e) The maps provided are suitable for public exhibition purposes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes		dedications, etc;
 there is no basic detailing regarding the sale of the land; there is no detail presented for Lot 12/dp 1060490; there is no detail for caveat RG:B406786 for Lot 1/dp 1063433; there is no detail for caveat RG:B406786 for Lot 1/dp 1063433; there is no detail for caveat RG:B406786 for Lot 1/dp 1063433; there is no information regarding easements. the planning proposal provides no explanation as to how funds obtained from any future sale of the land will be used. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No If No, explain : Additional information is required to be consistent with Section 117 Direction 6.2 Reserving land for public places and the Practice Note PN 16-001 checklist. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : Council provided the following maps identifying the subject site existing and proposed amendments: Land Zoning Map Height of Buildings Map The maps provided are suitable for public exhibition purposes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes 		of
the planning proposal provides no explanation as to how funds obtained from any future sale of the land will be used. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No If No, explain : Additional information is required to be consistent with Section 117 Direction 6.2 Reserving land for public places and the Practice Note PN 16-001 checklist. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : Council provided the following maps identifying the subject site existing and proposed amendments:		 there is no basic detailing regarding the sale of the land; there is no detail presented for Lot 12/dp 1060490; there is no detail for caveat RG:B405786 for Lot 1/dp 1063433;
If No, explain : Additional information is required to be consistent with Section 117 Direction 6.2 Reserving land for public places and the Practice Note PN 16-001 checklist. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : Council provided the following maps identifying the subject site existing and proposed amendments: Land Zoning Map Height of Buildings Map The maps provided are suitable for public exhibition purposes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e)		 the planning proposal provides no explanation as to how funds obtained from any
Reserving land for public places and the Practice Note PN 16-001 checklist. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : Council provided the following maps identifying the subject site existing and proposed amendments: • Land Zoning Map • Height of Buildings Map The maps provided are suitable for public exhibition purposes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes	Have inconsistencies with i	tems a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No
Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : Council provided the following maps identifying the subject site existing and proposed amendments: Land Zoning Map Height of Buildings Map The maps provided are suitable for public exhibition purposes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes	If No, explain :	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Comment : Council provided the following maps identifying the subject site existing and proposed amendments: • Land Zoning Map • Leight of Buildings Map • Height of Buildings Map The maps provided are suitable for public exhibition purposes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes	Mapping Provided - s5	5(2)(d)
amendments: • Land Zoning Map • Height of Buildings Map The maps provided are suitable for public exhibition purposes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes	Is mapping provided? Yes	
Height of Buildings Map The maps provided are suitable for public exhibition purposes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes	Comment :	
Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes		• •
Has community consultation been proposed? Yes		The maps provided are suitable for public exhibition purposes.
	Community consultation	on - s55(2)(e)
Comment : The planning proposal suggests a public exhibition period of 28 days.	Has community consultatio	n been proposed? Yes
	Comment :	The planning proposal suggests a public exhibition period of 28 days.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : April 2017

Comments in relationAmending the Blacktown LEP 2015 is considered to be the best mechanism to achieveto Principal LEP :the objectives of the planning proposal.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	A planning proposal is the best way of achieving the intended outcomes. The planning proposal is the result of an Urban Design Study which reviewed existing land use zones, height of building and floor space ration controls to ensure they align with current market expectations and support opportunities for growth.
	URBAN DESIGN STUDY Batesmart conducted the Urban Design Study discussing the need to provide a mixed use public space with nodes throughout the CBD and to connect people with public space. On Page 35, the Study details recommended changes for Alpha Park which allows for the expansion of Alpha Park to allow for 6-8 storey residential development on the northern section. Ground level community facilities or commercial activity with access to the park with assist in re-activation of the underused park, and shop top housing to increases pedestrian activity, provides passive surveillance over the park, and screen the Westpoint
	 Carkpark which is deemed unsightly and to detracts from the park. Council's correspondence estimates the following Dwelling and job figures. These will need to be updated into the proposal and justified accordingly. Number of Dwellings: 133 Number of Employees: 285 The proposal provides shadow documents on page 91 of the Urban Design Report. However, the residential housing to the west of the park are not included on the graphic. It is recommended the shadow diagrams are amended to more clearly identify the extent of overshadowing on existing neighbouring residential buildings and the park.

Employment)			
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	SYDNEY WEST CENTRAL DIST The planning proposal is genera Direction 3 to manage growth. A improve housing diversity and a	ally consistent with this plan a lso, supports the District pla	-
	A PLAN FOR GROWING SYDNE The planning proposal is genera development and shop to housin promote the activation of open s create a vibrant and inclusive cir	Illy consistent with this plan ng adjacent to public open sp space and pedestrian activity	bace within the CBD, and
	BLACKTOWN CITY 2030 - CITY The planning proposal is genera development.		to facilitate mixed use
Environmental social economic impacts :	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS There is no adverse effects on c ecological communities, or othe environmental impacts of any s development application.	r habitats. The planning prop	oosal states that any
	SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPAC Social and economic effects are planning controls align with cur growth. It is expected that the an and economic impacts.	refereed to the Urban Design rent market expectation and	supported opportunities for
	The planning proposal seeks to the vision by Council. The net co their need for housing, as well a	ommunity benefit is to servic	e the growing population and
<	The planning proposal does not community facilities. However, (utilised and the change in plann a regional tennis facility is being	Council have reiterated that t ing control is to activate the	he site is currently under site. Also, noting that close by
	Gardens).		
	The impact on public transport a consultation with Transport NSV improve pedestrian connectivity Blacktown CBD is well serviced bus interchange is located adjoi Railway Line and the Main West The effect on the transport syste	N is recommended. The Urba r throughout the CBD and to by local network and public ining the Blacktown Station s ern Railway Line, as well as t	nn design report states it will public transport nodes. transport, including a local serviced by the Richmond the NorthWest transitway.
Assessment Proces	\$		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	Delegation :	DDG
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	Transport for NSW - Roads and Sydney Water Telstra	Maritime Services	

Planning Proposal - Rezoning and Reclassification of Alpha Park (113 Dwellings, 285 Employment) No Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? (2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional studies, if required. If Other, provide reasons : Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No If Yes, reasons : **Documents** Is Public **Document File Name** DocumentType Name No Alpha Park_Letter_to_DPE.pdf **Proposal Covering Letter** Alpha Park_Planning Proposal.pdf Proposal No Alpha Park LAP Existing.pdf Мар No Alpha Park LZN Proposed.pdf Мар No No Alpha Park_HOB_Proposed.pdf Map Appendix _One_Alpha Park_Reclassification_data.pdf Study No Planning Team Recommendation Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions S.117 directions: **1.1 Business and Industrial Zones** 2.3 Heritage Conservation **3.1 Residential Zones** 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney It is recommended that the planning proposal proceeds subject to the following: Additional Information 1. Prior to community consultation, Council is to amend the planning proposal, to a. address Section 117 Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Places and the checklist in Practice Note PN 16-001; b. update solar access and shadow diagrams to show the surrounding development; and included dwellings and employment estimates. c.

The amended planning proposal is to be forwarded to the Department for endorsement prior to commencing exhibition.

	2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Act as follows:
521	 a. the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and b. the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing Local Environmental Plans (Department of Planning and Environment 2016). c. a copy of practice note 16-001 is to be included in the public exhibition materials.
	3. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the Act:
	 Endeavour Energy; Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services; Sydney Water; and Telstra
	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.
	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from its obligation to conduct a public hearing regarding reclassifying land.
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is consistent with applicable SEPPs, A Plan for Growing Sydney and the Draft West Central District Plan. The proposal is also consistent with Council's local strategies.
	The proposal is to satisfactorily addressed the matters identified in the checklist in Attachment 1 of the Department's LEP Practice Note Classification and reclassification of public land through a local environmental plan (PN 16-001) prior to public exhibition.
Signature:	- Als
Printed Name:	Adria Hohangollern_ Date: 21/4/17